

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ANDREW IAN FARMER ET AL.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Case No. 4:16-CR-00408

**UNITED STATES’ RESPONSE TO VICTIM HAZIM A. ABDUL-RESOUL’S MOTION  
FOR THE RELEASE OF FUNDS**

The United States of America (“United States”) has no position regarding Victim Hazim A. Abdul-Resoul’s Motion for Release of Funds (Dkt. 694).

Based on conversations with the Mr. Abdul-Resoul’s attorney, the United States believes the victim is actually seeking to have the Court modify its initial restitution order(s) and prioritize Mr. Abdul-Resoul’s claim, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i). Section 3664(i) states, in relevant part, “[i]f the court finds that more than 1 victim has sustained a loss requiring restitution by a defendant, the court may provide for a different payment schedule for each victim based on the type and amount of each victim’s loss and accounting for the economic circumstances of each victim.” 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i). The Court has currently ordered a pro rata payment schedule for the victims based on the amount of their respective losses.

The United States notes for the Court that the instant matter has 1000+ victims that were awarded restitution between the seven criminal Defendants. Because Mr. Abdul-Resoul’s claim is potentially seeking to modify the interests of the other 1000+ victims already awarded by the Court, the United States is unsure whether notice and an opportunity to participate in this process should be provided to the other victims by Mr. Abdul-Resoul.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The current order(s) by the Court awards each victim a pro rata share of the funds collected from the Defendants, meaning that, in theory, if funds are collected, each victim should receive *some* restitution. If Mr. Abdul-Resoul’s requested relief is granted, then it is possible that some victims will not receive anything if the collected restitution

The United States also notes that 18 U.S.C. §3664(j) allows a victim to pursue restitution outside of the criminal matter. Section 3664(j)(2) specifically mentions a victim recovering their damages through a Federal or State civil proceeding, and the Court reducing the ordered criminal restitution amount by the civilly recovered amount.

The United States is willing to provide any additional information that may aid the Court in this matter.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of January 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAMDAR S. HAMDANI  
United States Attorney

By: /s/ Anthony Franklyn  
Anthony Franklyn  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Texas Bar No. 24091754  
SDTX Admission No. 3380634  
1000 Louisiana, Suite 2300  
Houston, TX 77002  
Phone: 713-567-9331  
Fax: 713-718-3405  
Anthony.franklyn@usdoj.gov

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 23, 2024, a true and correct copy of this Response was sent to all parties of record via the Court's ECF system.

/s/ Anthony Franklyn  
Anthony Franklyn  
Assistant United States Attorney

---

amount does not exceed Mr. Abdul-Resoul's loss. The United States is unaware of any caselaw regarding notice to victims regarding a modification of a restitution order, but does note for the Court that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(g)(1), "[n]o victim shall be required to participate in any phase of a restitution order."